## UNDERSTANDING SYRIA AND TURKEYS ROLE

Yayın Adı : Hürriyet Daily News Periyod : Haftada 6 Gün Tarih : 02.02.2019

Ili : Istanbul Sayfa : 1 Tiraj : 5.756 1/2



## Understanding Syria and Turkey's role

Syria cannot be understood without considering the energy security issue of Europe in the 2000s, and the efforts to bypass Russia in the energy game.

Bora Bayraktar on page 05

Yayın Adı: Hürriyet Daily News Periyod: Haftada 6 Gün Tarih: 02.02.2019

2/2: Istanbul Tiraj: 5.756 Sayfa:5



## **Understanding Syria** and Turkey's role

After the uprising started, which was regarded as the continuation of Arab revolts in the Middle East, Syria turned into a platform on which international relations have

which international relations have been defined and where region-al and global balance of power is settled. Syria in a way became the hunting ground for big powers. During the first days of the up-rising the Western-supported op-position against Bashar al-Assad's softion against Bashar al-Assad's grift faced the resistance of Rus-sia and Iran. A group of countries led by France, the U.S. and Turkey supported the opposition groups supported the opposition groups strongly.

supported the opposition groups strongly.

But this support was mainly rhetoric and it was not reflected to the actual fighting in the field. On the other hand, Russian and Iranian support to Assad was more concrete. Both countries took the initiative in the field and supported the regime militarily.

initiative in the field and supported the regime militarily.

The U.S., on the other hand, after watching silently for years, has tried to establish a garrison statelet in the north recently. As a result Syria has been effectively divided into two, if not on paper.

Now in the West we have Russia and its allies, and in the east of Euphrates, there is the U.S. and its allies. Between these two, Turkey is playing the role of a balancer (stabilizer).

How did we come to this point?

However is paying the role of a bar-ancer (stabilizer).

How did we come to this point?

To understand Syria now we should look at the 2000s. Syria cannot be understood without considering the energy security issue of Europe at that time, without remembering the efforts to bypass Russia in the energy game.

In the winter of 2006, Russia's decision to cut off gas delivery to Ukraine left Europe in the cold. Puttin's policy of using energy as a political weapon forced European countries to look for alternative sources and transportation routes. Qatar, Turkmenistan and Iran were alternatives.

Iran was the weakest option

Iran were alternatives.

Iran was the weakest option due to the political problems and U.S. objections. In the 2000s, Mabucco Project, carrying Turkmen gas to the West via Turkey, was being discussed for long.

But Putin was quick enough to kill the project, convincing Turkmenistan to direct its gas to Russia. The Nagorno-Karabakh issue occluded the Azeri-Armenian route. The Nabucco Project was

sue occluded the Azeri-Armenian route. The Nabucco Project was dead by 2013.

At that time carrying the gas and oil from the Gulf to the eastern Mechiterranean was also on the agenda. Obviously leaving the valves in the mercy of Israeli hands was not an option for Arabs, and the Jordan-Israel route was out of the agenda.

Terror and instability in the Sinai Peninsula and in Iraq's Sunni

nai Peninsula and in Irag's Sunni areas put these two routes out ei-ther. Lebanon, having Hezbollah in government, could not be an al-ternative.

ternative.

The shortest and safest route on paper could be Syria under a pro-Western government, via Iraq's Kurdish-controlled area. For this reason the uprisings against Assad was supported by Western governments.

By doing this the pro-Russia Assad would be removed, therefore Moscow could be bypassed and its role in the market could be diminished.

diminished

diminished.

But Putin proved that he was aware of the situation and experienced enough to change the course. First he blocked Georgia's and Ukraine's bids to join NATO

by using military force. by using military force.

He strengthened his air defense system by deploying missiles and forming A2AD area beyond Russian borders. He supported the Assad regime unconditionally

and nullified diplomatic efforts at the U.N. Security Council. He increased operational ca-pacity of his navy by annexing Crimea in 2014. Russia could not establish an air-bridge to Syr-ia over Turkey during the war, because Turkey was against the Assad regime.

because Turkey was against the Assad regime.

The opening of a sea route to Syria was critical in this regard. Russia was able to start its military campaign on Sept. 30, 2015.

The official goal was to de-feat ISIL, but Russia actually fo-cused its air strikes on the coun-

cused its air strikes on the country's west, against the opposition

In a short time, Russia was able In a short time, Russia was able to control the coast of the Mediter-ranean, developed its air and na-val bases and killed the potential gas pipeline route which could by-pass Russia.

Western countries responded

Western countries responded to Putin's moves by forcing a corridor in the north by using PKK-PYD elements despite resentment from Turkey, which named it a "terror corridor." Had the independence referendum in the Iraqi Kurdish Regional Government succeeded and the PKK-PYD were able to control the corridor until the coast. Turkey

corridor until the coast, Turkey and Russia would have been by-

and Russia would have been bypassed.

Turkey's ties with the Arab world would be cut off and
Turkey would be out of energy
games, plus Russia's military campaign would fail eventually.

The PKK, PYD and YPG have
been used as a tool and ISI, provided the pretext for the West.
Turkey's operation in Afrin last
year uncovered a concentration
of ammunition and weapons next
to its borders, revealing maybe a
plan of occupation of its cities.

For these reasons Turkey made
fighting against the PKK-PYD and
its-affiliates in Syria (Traq and Turkey) a priority for its national security and territorial integrity.
Russia and Turkey, for different
reasons, came together to spoil the
plans of establishing a corridor.

But Turkey never gave up its
policy of removing Assad from
power.

When it comes to the
future of Assad, Turkey is working together with Western countries. But in keeping Syria's territorial integrity and defeating the
corridor, Turkey and Russia are
on the same page.

Apart from global power ri-

corridor, Turkey and Russia are on the same page.

Apart from global power rivalry, Syria has also turned to a ground on which regional balances are being determined. Syria plays an important role in the Saudi-Iranian competition, too.

Saudis feel the Iranian pressure at Hormuz and Bab Al-Mandab in their oil trade. Fighting in Yeme and forming an anti-Iranian axis in the Gulf are related to this.

These two issues are forcing

in the Gulf are related to this.
These two issues are forcing
the Saudis to direct their oil trade
to the east of the Mediterranean.
That's why the Saudis are strongly supporting the Sisi government
in Egypt.
They want to limit the influence
of Iran in Syria and Lebanon. The
Saudis are trying to work with the
U.S. and other Western powers to
counterbalance Iran.
Turkey is also playing a bal-

counterbalance Iran.

Turkey is also playing a balancing role between the Saudis
and Iranians. Saudi Arabia and
some Gulf nations are trying to
put pressure on Turkey. But Turkey caught the Saudis red-handed
in the murder of journalist Jamal
Khashoggi.

This made Saudi-oriented polticies more difficult for the U.S.
Trump's decision of withdrawal from Syria can be the sign of
a different course of action in
the region.

www.medyatakip.com